US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

DP Barcode : D181136 PC Code No : 128101

EEB Out

John Lee To:

Product Manager 31

Registration Division (H7505C)

From: Anthony F. Maciorowski, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch/EFED (H7507C)

Attached, please find the EEB review of ...

Reg./File # : 000707-RTL

Chemical Name : Dichloro-2-n-octyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone

Type Product : Antifoulant

Product Name : Antifoulant C-9211M; RH-287

Company Name : Rohm and Haas Company

: Review rebuttals to EEB study reviews of data Purpose

submitted previously.

Action Code : 116 Date Due

Reviewer C. Laird Date In 07/31/92

EEB Guideline/MRID Summary Table: The review in this package contains an evaluation of the following.

GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT	GDLN NO	MRID NO	CAT
71-1(A)		72-2(A)			72-7(A)		
71-1(B)		72-2(B)			72-7(B)		
71-2(A)		72-3(A)			122-1(A)		
71-2(B)		72-3(B)			122-1(B)		
71-3		72-3(C)			122-2		
71-4(A)		72-3(D)			123-1(A)		
71-4(B)		72-3(E)			123-1(B)		
71-5(A)		72-3(F)			123-2		
71-5(B)		72-4(A)			124-1		
72-1(A)		72-4(B)			124-2		
72-1(B)		72-5			141-1		
72-1(C)	<u> </u>	72-6			141-2		
72-1(D)	<b>'</b>				141-5		

Y=Acceptable (Study satisfied Guideline)/Concur P=Partial (Study partially fulfilled Guideline but

additional information is needed

S=Supplemental (Study provided useful information but Guideline was

not satisfied)

N=Unacceptable (Study was rejected)/Nonconcur



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

FEB 2 4 1993

MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

Registrant Rebuttal to EEB's Review: D181136 SUBJECT: why Thorowski

FROM:

Anthony F. Maciorowski, Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C)

TO:

John Lee, PM (31)

Antimicrobal Program Branch Registration Division (H7505C)

In response to the registrant's letter dated July 15, 1992 concerning the previously reviewed aquatic studies (both freshwater and estuarine/marine) in support of C-9211 M (RH-287 manufacturing use registration), EEB has determined the following:

- careful review of the registrant's comments 1. concludes the studies questioned by the registrant retain their original classifications. These are:
  - 72-3, Oyster, MRID No. 417568-01: Supplemental
  - 72-4, Fish ELS, MRID No. 417415-04: Supplemental b.
  - 72-4, Daphnia chronic, MRID No. 417415-05: Invalid
  - 122-2, Algae Acute, MRID No. 417568: Invalid Ć.

It should be noted that those studies classified supplemental can be used in an EEB hazard assessment.

Although the studies referenced above are not upgradeable, EEB 2. concludes that no further ecological effects data are required for parent compound to support the proposed registration of RH-287 as a marine antifoulant. This conclusion is based on the 02/11/93 review by Environmental Fate and Groundwater Branch (EFGWB). This review indicates that aquatic metabolism studies show: (1) a degradation half-life of less than one hour in marine sediments/seawater systems; (2) degradates bind strongly to

the sediment phase. Therefore, further EEB aquatic studies, which incorporate test material into the water column, appear inappropriate. However, further ecological effects testing (e.g., with degradates may be required to support registration. This is dependent upon the results of additional EFGWB data: e.g., leaching rates from paint material; clarification of the extent and reversibility of sediment binding.

If you have any questions on the above, please contact Curtis Laird of my staff.